

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/330,016 06/11/1999		1999	HIROSHI YAMAZAKI	1185.1047/JD	8878
21171	7590	06/13/2002			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				EXAMINER	
700 11TH ST SUITE 500	,		NGUYEN, DUNG T		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 2000	, DC 20001 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		PAPER NUMBER	
				2871	
				DATE MAILED: 06/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Yamazaki et al.

Application No.

09/330,016

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

2871

**Advisory Action** 

		Dung Nguyen	2871
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address
There ejecti allowa	REPLY FILED <u>Jun 4, 2002</u> FAILS TO PLACE fore, further action by the applicant is required to avion under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appear in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	mely filed amendment which plac	lication. A proper reply to a final es the application in condition for
	THE PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a)	X The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from t	the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIR See MPEP 706.07(f).	for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS	S from the mailing date of the
ext app set	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). T tension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of detern propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated fr t in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if ailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may redu	nining the period of extension and the com: (1) the expiration date of the short checked. Any reply received by the Of	corresponding amount of the fee. The tened statutory period for reply originally fice later than three months after the
ı. 🛭	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>Jun 4, 2002</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. 🗶	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a)	${f f X}$ they raise new issues that would require further	consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);
(b)	$\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b	elow);	
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by materia	Illy reducing or simplifying the
(d)	☐ they present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding number of finall	y rejected claims.
	NOTE: the amended claims 1, 4 and 7 would requ	uire further reconsideration and se	earch.
3. 🗆	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):	
4. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claim(s)a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the		ould be allowable if submitted in
5. 🗆	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	t for reconsideration has been cor	nsidered but does NOT place the
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly raised
7. 🛭	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
	Claim(s) allowed:		
	Claim(s) objected to:		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8.⊔	The proposed drawing correction filed on		b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. 🗆	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
0.□	Other:		William L. Sikes

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800
Part of Paper No. 13